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The Purpose of the report

- Mapping how the Danish Universities works in the CRIS area
- Make recommendations for future collaboration
- Form a basis for future developments

The report is based on interviews with Pure staff, Pure managers and Heads of university libraries.

Project partners:
- Aalborg University Library: Nils Thidemann
- Aarhus University Library: Nicolaj Veje Pedersen
- CBS Library: Gert Poulsen
- DTU Library: Lise Mikkelsen
- Copenhagen University Library: Adrian Price and Liselotte Nielsen
- Roskilde University Library: Sidse Louise Schelde
- University Library of Southern Denmark: Anne Thorst Melbye
- Project consultant: Cathrine Durup Kjær Bonderup
The Pure history in Denmark

- 2002: the first version of Pure – Atira A/S in collaboration with Aalborg University Library
- 2004: 4 Danish University libraries forms the Pure Steering Group
- 2004: the Pure Working Group is formed
- 2005-2008: 5 more University libraries joins the Pure Steering Group
- 2012: the last University library join in
- 2012: Atira A/S is bought by Elsevier BV
- 2012: Pure Working Group discontinued
The University Library Landscape in DK

- 2004: 12 University Libraries in DK
- 2020: 5 University Libraries in DK

- 2015: The Royal Library and The State and University Library merged
- 2019: Electronic License negotiation was handed over to The Royal Library
What’s in our report?

◆ Preface:
  ◆ Context and method (data analysis and interviews)
  ◆ CRIS-history in DK – Focus on the development of Pure
  ◆ Danish Universities in Numbers

◆ Theme 1: Mapping the local organisation, needs, tasks and visions
◆ Theme 2: Mapping of CRIS content and local workflow and visions
◆ Theme 3: Mapping of technical setups and integrations
◆ Theme 4: Mapping the use of Pure-data
◆ Theme 5: Mapping of the current CRIS organisation in Denmark
◆ + National and international view
◆ = recommendations and conclusion
The Universities in Numbers

**Number of Scientific Staff** (March 2020)

- **AAU**: 1220.4
- **AU**: 2833.84
- **CBS**: 399.50
- **DTU**: 2812.9
- **ITU**: 102.65
- **KU**: 3808.63
- **RUC**: 222.03
- **SDU**: 1225.44
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>KU</th>
<th>AU</th>
<th>SDU</th>
<th>AAU</th>
<th>DTU</th>
<th>RUC</th>
<th>CBS</th>
<th>ITU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KU</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>1337</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDU</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>1091</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAU</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTU</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUC</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITU</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Theme 1: Mapping the local organisation, needs, tasks and visions

- Competences in local CRIS-team
- Tasks in the local CRIS-team and how they are weighted
- Workflow for publication registration
- Local visions and wishes for the future

Theme conclusion:
- We are all organised differently
- Central versus decentralised research registration
- We want to keep working with CRIS registrations at the universities — and not a national solution
- More collaboration on the practical level — share best practice
Theme 2: Mapping of CRIS content and local workflow and visions

- Overview of content types at each university
- Overview of local workflow – who deals w/ registrations and of what types?
- Quality control

Theme conclusion:
- All universities: Publications, Activities, Prices and media
- Pure has 11 other content types — all universities has different approaches
- Visions on what is needed to create better profiles for our researchers on our portals
- Wishes for future development in Pure — what do we need form Elsevier?
Theme 3: Mapping of technical setups and integrations

- Hosting
- SLA with Elsevier
- Procedure for implementation of new versions of Pure
- Where are data from the Local Pure used?
- Where from do we find our information?

Theme conclusion:
- Wishes for better integrations – locally and nationally (better/smarter use of resources)
Theme 4: Mapping the use of Pure data

- Research dissemination
- Local Research portals
- Display data
- Bibliometrics and analysis
  - Information to Management and Research Support Units

Theme conclusion:
- Lack of communication with Elsevier – development is too slow
- Need to stand together – more customer-centered approach/demands
Theme 5: Mapping of the current CRIS organisation in Denmark

- How to maintain and build competences:
  - Internal/external networks, conferences etc.
  - Pure Steering Group and ad hoc working groups within specific topics (best practice, Pure Portal, OA...)
  - It's all about resources – money and manpower
  - Focus on dialog with Elsevier

- Theme conclusion:
  - Need for reorganisation on the national level
  - Need to speak with one clear voice to Elsevier
  - Clear expectations to Elsevier
  - Alignment of expectations
  - Resources and commitment – from both sides
National and international outlook

- **Norway**
  - CRISTIN, national. 65% import
  - ...but, is the data quality good enough?

- **Amsterdam Five!**
  - Pure Community Module
  - Focus on reporting

- **New Jersey**
  - Pure Community Module
  - Focus on dissemination

- **University colleges in Denmark**
  - Gone from centralised to decentralised + community module
Conclusions on outlook

- None of the international models seems perfect

- Norwegian:
  - A national setup seems nice. But we find the data quality too poor, and it still requires a lot local resources

- Amsterdam Five:
  - Pure Community-module still seems too immature, but we see potential – but we need Elsevier to listen to our needs....

- New Jersey:
  - United Research dissemination through Pure Community Module – how do that work together with the Danish Research Database? ......we will follow it on the side line...for now 😊

- We would love to share data – especially Master Data – we need Elsevier development
**Recommendations**

- Continued decentralized organization of CRIS work at university level
- Establishment of a national user group consisting of Pure super users
- Revision and further development of Pure content types
- Dialogue with Elsevier with a view to optimizing technical solutions in Pure
- Expansion or consolidation of current import sources

- Continuous monitoring of developments in the market for CRIS systems by the national Pure collaboration
- Consolidation of the national portal group with reference to the Pure Steering Committee
- Continuous monitoring of the development of the Pure Community module by the Pure Steering Committee
- The Pure Steering Committee will continue to pursue the interest in national research dissemination on behalf of the Danish research sector
- A future structured approach to competence development at a national level
What has happened since the Report was released?

- And the came Covid-19 😞

- The Report was sent to the Ministry for Higher Education and Research

- Local presentations of the Report

- Planned workshop with Heads of library:
  - Strategic plans for the future
  - Future organisation of national collaboration