



CERIF API specification

Version 1.0

August 2015

Editors:

Nikos Houssos, National Documentation Centre / NHRF, Greece Dimitris Karaiskos, National Documentation Centre / NHRF, Greece

Reviewers:

Andrea Bollini, CINECA, Italy Daniele Bailo, National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV), Italy Dragan Ivanović, University of Novi Sad, Serbia Jan Dvořák, Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic Laurent Remy, IS4RI, France Nikos Pougounias, National Documentation Centre / NHRF, Greece Thomas Vestdam, Elsevier, Denmark Thorsten Hoellrigl, Thomson Reuters, Germany Vassilis Bonis, National Documentation Centre / NHRF, Greece

Organisation names reflect the affiliations of persons at the time of their contribution to the CERIF API specification.

1 Aims and scope of the CERIF API specifications

The present document constitutes the specification of a standard API that enables 3rd party software programs to access and reuse *Research Information* maintained in Current Research Information Systems (CRIS). *Research information* can be defined as any information that describes the research output as well as the context in which research is being conducted. CRIS systems typically store, manage and disseminate data about entities like people, projects, organisations, publications, patents, products, funding programmes, indicators and metrics, research infrastructures (facilities, equipment, services) and the relationships between them. The primary objectives of the CERIF API are the following:

- To facilitate the interoperability of CRIS systems and their integration with other information systems.
- To enable the development of applications, services and components that can access and reuse information across different CRIS systems in a standard, unified way.

The CERIF API is mainly addressed to the following types of stakeholders:

- Organizations and individuals (e.g. software developers, product managers, CRIS managers) involved in the implementation of CRIS software platforms, individual CRIS systems and related services.
- Organizations and individuals (e.g. software developers, product managers) involved in the development of software applications, services and components that can benefit from retrieving and reusing the information stored in CRIS systems.

The organization responsible for the CERIF API specification is euroCRIS and in particular the CRIS Architecture and Development Task Group. EuroCRIS is the international organization for research information, a non-profit association with more than 200 institutional members from more than 40 countries in Europe and worldwide. One of the principal aims of euroCRIS is the development and curation of the Common European Research Information Format (CERIF). CERIF is the international standard data model for research information and a European Union recommendation to member states. The custodianship of CERIF has been handed over to euroCRIS by the European Union in 2000. The CERIF data structure defined as an entity-relationship model. The CERIF standard also defines an XML representation of research information (CERIF XML) as a format for data exchange involving CRIS systems. The API presented in the current document utilises CERIF XML as the basis for the representation of the data that is made available to 3rd parties by CRIS systems.

The present version 1.0 of the API addresses basic aspects of read-only access to information in CRIS systems. Operations that alter the data in CRIS systems (for example, Create, Update and Delete) as well as sophisticated search facilities are beyond the scope of this version of the API.

2 CERIF API specification

2.1 Supported operations

The calls supported by the API are documented in Table 1. The structure of responses is provided in the attached examples, as referenced from within the Table.

For the sake of brevity in the table, shortcut notation is being used for the specification within API calls of (a) paging functionality and (b) which data elements will be contained within returned CERIF Entity instances. Please refer to Section 2.2 for the relevant documentation.

#	Description	REST API request format	Example URL ¹	Method
1	Get data on all instances of a	GET /{entity name in	http://api.examplecris.org/projects/?	GET
	particular type of entity. The data	plural}?identifiersOnly=[true	offset=0&pageSize=100	
	retrieved can be (a) a list of	false]&{pagingSpec}&{returnedEntitySpec}		
	identifiers (each identifier should be		Example result (attached):	
	a dereferenceable URL), (b) a list of	Parameters:	<u>identifiers.xml</u>	
	entire records.	entity name in plural [string from controlled list,		
	Sorting of the results according to	mandatory]: A CERIF entity in plural. Please	http://api.examplecris.org/projects/?	
	criteria specified by the client is not	refer to Section 2.3 for the list of valid values.	offset=0&pageSize=100&fedids=true	
	supported.		&classifications=false&links=false	
		identifiersOnly[true false, default=true]: If		
		true only an identifier (in the form of an		
		actionable URL) is returned per record.		
		pagingSpec: Specification of paged retrieval of		
		results (see Section 2.2).		

¹ The pattern of example URLs are indicative. The current pattern assumes the availability of virtual hosting facilities, however the utilization of other patterns is allowed (e.g. http://examplecris.org/api/ instead of http://api.examplecris.org/).

#	Description	REST API request format	Example URL ¹	Method
		<i>returnedEntitySpec</i> : Specifies which data elements will be contained within returned		
		CERIF Entity instances (see Section 2.2).		
2	Get all information about a	GET /{entity name in	http://api.examplecris.org/projects/2	
	particular instance of a particular type of entity. The information	plural}/{id}?{returnedEntitySpec}	c9083b43ec281df013ec285e81a0000 ?	
	returned must contain the elements	Parameters:	links=orgunits;fundings;persons;medi	
	specified in the query.	<i>entity name in plural [string from controlled list, mandatory]</i> : A CERIF entity in plural. Please	а	
	If the requested instance cannot be	refer to Section 2.3 for the list of valid values.	Example result:	
	returned (e.g. it does not exist), an		project.xml	
	HTTP response with an appropriate	<i>id [string, mandatory]</i> : An identifier for the		
	4XX code is returned.	entity instance. Should be the same identifier	http://api.examplecris.org/projects/2	
		identifiersOnly=true ("Get the identifiers of all	2fedIds=false&classifications=false	
		instances of a particular type of entity")		
			Example result:	
		returnedEntitySpec: Specifies which data	project_short.xml	
		elements will be contained within returned		
		CERIF Entity instances (see Section 2.2).	http://api.examplecris.org/projects/2	
			c9083b43ec281df013ec285e81a0000	
			? links=orgunits&linkedObjects=true	
			Example result:	
			project_with_linked_orgunits.xml	
3	Get information about all CERIF entities (core and link entities)	GET /entities	http://api.examplecris.org/entities	GET
	supported by the CRIS system (i.e.		Example result:	
	definition of the CERIF subset		entities.xml	
	supported by the CRIS system).			

#	Description	REST API request format	Example URL ¹	Method
	The returned results are structured according to <u>CERIF-API-Entities.xsd</u>			
4	Get semantic layer contents from a CRIS. Returns an XML dump of classes and classification schemes, such as the standard CERIF Semantics XML available at <u>http://www.eurocris.org/Uploads/W</u> <u>eb%20pages/CERIF-</u> <u>1.5/CERIF1.5_Semantics.xml</u>	GET /semantics	http://api.examplecris.org/semantics	GET
5	Search by classification. The data retrieved can be (a) a list of identifiers (identifier should be a dereferenceable URL), (b) a list of entire records. Sorting of the results according to criteria specified by the client is not supported.	GET /{entity name in plural}?class={class UUID}&classScheme={class scheme UUID}&{pagingSpec}&identifiersOnly=[true false]&{returnedEntitySpec} Parameters: entity name in plural [string from controlled list, mandatory]: A CERIF entity in plural. Please refer to Section 2.3 for the list of valid values. class [UUID, mandatory]: Specifies the classification term. Value: UUID of the classification. classScheme [UUID, mandatory]: Specifies the classification scheme to which the classification belongs. Value: UUID of the classification scheme.	http://api.examplecris.org/orgunits/? class= eda2b2ef-34c5-11e1-b86c- 0800200c9a66 &classScheme= 759af939-34ae-11e1-b86c- 0800200c9a66 &offset=101&pageSize=100 (class: Research Institute, class scheme: Organisation Types)	GET

#	Description	REST API request format	Example URL ¹	Method
		identifiersOnly [true false, default=true]: If		
		true only an identifier (in the form of an		
		actionable URL) is returned per record.		
		returnedEntitySpec: Specifies which data		
		elements will be contained within returned		
		CERIF Entity instances (see Section 2.2).		
		pagingSpec: Specification of paged retrieval of		
		results (see Section 2.2).		
6	Search by Federated Identifier.	GET /{entity name in plural}?fedIdClass={class	http://api.examplecris.org	GET
		UUID specifying the type of federated	/persons/search?fedIdClass =	
	This API call is expected to return a	identifier}&fedId={value of federated	716bcc9a-c9dd-4b8b-b4ab-	
	single result.	identifier}&{returnedEntitySpec}	6c140e578ec3	
			&fedId=1234-1234-1234-	
	The information returned has the	Parameters:	1234&offset=150&pageSize=25	
	same form as in API call #2.	entity name in plural [string from controlled list,		
		mandatory]: A CERIF entity in plural. Please	(fedIdClass: ORCID)	
	If the requested instance cannot be	refer to Section 2.3 for the list of valid values.		
	returned (e.g. it does not exist), an			
	HTTP response with an appropriate	fedIdClass [UUID, mandatory]: Type		
	4XX code is returned.	(classification) of identifier. The value should		
		specify a classification of a federated identifier		
		(i.e. a classification belonging to the		
		classification scheme "Identifier Types" that		
		applies on FedId_Class). Value: UUID of the		
		classification.		
		<i>fedId [string, mandatory]</i> : The value of the		
		identifier.		

#	Description	REST API request format	Example URL ¹	Method
		<i>returnedEntitySpec:</i> Specifies which data elements will be contained within returned		

Table 1. Specification of API calls

2.2 Specification of paging functionality and data elements in returned content

For the sake of brevity, the following shortcuts, as defined in Table 2, are used for the specification of API operations in Table 1 (Section 2.1).

Shortcut description	Format of query fragment	Example query fragments
Paging specification	offset=N&pageSize=L	offset=0&pageSize=100
Shortcut: (paging Spec)		
How API clients specify within	Parameters:	offset=1500&pageSize =100
a request the desired paging	<i>offset [integer, optional, default=0]</i> : The	
functionality.	number of items within the entire list of	offset=0&pageSize=200
	results that are skipped to reach the start	
	of the current page. For example, if	
	offset=10, the current page starts from the	
	11 th element in the list of results.	
	pageSize [integer, optional, default=20,	
	<i>max=200]</i> : Maximum numbers of elements	
	to be returned in response to the current	
	client request. To avoid the case of servers	
	being overloaded due to excessive page	
	sizes, there is a maximum allowed value for	
	pageSize (200 items).	
	<i>Note:</i> No provisions are included in the API	
	specification for the case of the result set	
	being modified during the retrieval of the	
	various pages (e.g. a new record is inserted	
	possibly resulting in, for example, the	
	inclusion of the same result in more than	
	one pages).	
Returned entity content	fedIds={true/false}&classifications={true/fal	http://api.examplecris.org/pro
specification	se}&links={true/false/{cerifEntity1;cerifEntit	jects/2c9083b43ec281df013ec
Shortcut: (returnedEntitySpec)	y2;;cerifEntityN}}&linkedObjects={true	285e81a0000?fedIds=false
How API clients specify within	false}&linkedSemantics={true false}	
a request which data		http://api.examplecris.org/pro
elements will be contained	Parameters:	jects/2c9083b43ec281df013ec
within returned CERIF Entity	fedIds [true false, default=true]: If true,	285e81a0000?fedIds=true&cla
instances.	the entity instance's FedIds are included in	ssifications=true&links=orguni
	the response. Otherwise, the entity's	ts&linkedObjects=true
	FedIds are not included in the response.	

<i>classifications [true false, default=true]</i> : If true , the entity instance's Classifications ({Entity_Class} objects) are included in the response. Otherwise the entity's Classifications are not included in the response.	
<i>links</i> [<i>true</i> <i>false</i> { <i>cerifEntity1;cerifEntity2;;cerifEntityN</i> }, <i>default=true</i>]: If true , the entity instance's links with all other entity instances are included in the response. If false , no links are included in the response. If a sequence of CERIF entity labels (see Section 2.3) is specified, only the links with those types of entity instances are included in the response. Labels in a sequence are	
separated using a semicolon (';'). <i>linkedObjects [true false </i> <i>{cerifEntity1;cerifEntity2;;cerifEntityN},</i> <i>default=false]</i> : If true , the entity instance's links, as full objects, with all other entity instances are included in the response, along with the entity instance at the "other" side of the link (including basic, multi-lingual and fedId fields). If false , full object of links are not included in the response. If a sequence of CERIF entity labels (see Section 2.3) is specified, only those links with those types of entity instances are included in the response.	
Labels in a sequence are separated using a semicolon (';'). <i>linkedSemantics [true false,</i> <i>default=false]</i> : If true , the returned results include the definition (i.e. basic and multi- lingual fields) of all the semantics (classifications and classification schemes) utilized in the returned {Entity_Class} objects and links.	

(A) Clarifications on the use of identifiersOnly. When identifiersOnly is set to true all other "Returned entity content" parameters are omitted. The "Returned entity content" parameters are fedIds,	
classifications, links, linkedObjects and linkedSemantics.	
(B) Clarifications on the use of links and links dObjects a suggestion. The	
linkedObjects parameters: The	
to the <i>links</i> parameter. Thus, the	
linkadObjects parameter is not taken into	
account when the links parameter is false	
lp particular:	
1 If links-false and linkedObjects- [true]	
false 1	
{cerifEntity1:cerifEntity2: :cerifEntity	
N^{3} neither links nor linked objects are	
included in the returned results.	
2. If links=true 1	
{cerifEntity1;cerifEntity2;;cerifEntity	
<i>N</i> ³ and <i>linkedObjects=false</i> , only the	
links (not linked objects) are included	
in the returned results.	
3. If links=cerifEntity1; cerifEntity2;;	
cerifEntityN and linkedObjects=true,	
the specified links and the respective	
linked objects (i.e. only the linked	
objects of the entities specified in the	
links parameter) are included in the	
returned results.	
4. If links=true and linkedObjects=	
cerifEntity1; cerifEntity2;;	
cerifEntityN, all links are included in	
the result but in full record format are	
only those specified by linked objects.	
5. If links=cerifEntity1; cerifEntity2;;	
cerifEntityN and linkedObjects=	
cerifEntity1; cerifEntity2;;	
cerifEntityN, all specified links are	
included in the result but in full record	
format will be only those included in	

both lists (the links and linked objects lists of entities)	
6 If	
0. II links-corifEntity1:corifEntity2: :corifE	
ntituliand linkedObjects-false the	
specified links are included in the	
returned results. No linked objects are	
included in the returned results	
7 If links-true and linkedObjects-true all	
links and all linked objects are included	
in the returned results	
(C) Clarifications on the use of	
classifications and linkedSemantics	
parameters:	
Parameters classifications and	
linkedSemantics do not correlate neither	
they depend on each other. The	
classifications parameter when set to true	
includes in the response instances of type	
<pre>{Entity_Class} for the retrieved Entity(ies)</pre>	
(i.e. this parameter does not result in the	
inclusion of instances of type cfClass and	
cfClassScheme to the response). The	
linkedSemantics parameter when set to	
true includes in the response full records of	
all Class instances, i.e. Classes coming from	
<pre>{Entity_Class} and Classes attached on</pre>	
{Entity_Entity} links (e.g. the Class attached	
on a link of type cfPers_OrgUnit and so on).	

Table 2. Specification of paging functionality and data elements in returned content

2.3 Labels of CERIF entities

Each CERIF entity in the API is expressed using a human readable label, always in plural in any API call (e.g. projects for cfProj, publications for cfResPubl).

The entity LIDI la	shalc ara chawn in	the following	Tabla 2 Cr	pocification c	f ADL caller
THE EITHLY UNLIG	adels die shown in		I able 5. St	Jechnearion c	I AFI Calls.

Entity	URL label
cfProject	/projects
cfPerson	/persons
cfOrgUnit	/orgunits
cfResultPublication	/publications
cfResultProduct	/products
cfResultPatent	/patents
cfFunding	/fundings
cfService	/services
cfFacility	/facilities
cfEquipment	/equipments
cfMedium	/media
cfIndicator	/indicators
cfMeasurement	/measurements
cfEvent	/events
cfPAddr	/postaladdresses
cfEAddr	/electronicaddresses
cfGeoBBox	/geobboxes
cfCitation	/citations
cfCV	/cvs
cfPrize	/prizes
cfQualification	/qualifications
cfExpertiseAndSkills	/expertiseandskills

Table 3. List of valid names of CERIF entities for use in URLs

2.4 CERIF API data marshalling in HTTP

CERIF API calls are performed with the HTTP protocol, using HTTP methods. Responses to API calls return information in XML embedded in the body of HTTP response messages. The MIME type "application/xml" applies to all CERIF API responses. The returned XML data structure follows the XML Schema definitions <u>CERIF-API-Main.xsd</u>, <u>CERIF-API-Header.xsd</u>, <u>CERIF-API-Payload.xsd</u>.

The CRIS systems implementing the CERIF API may impose restrictions and registration / authentication requirements for clients of the API. These restrictions and requirements are beyond the scope of the current specification.

The HTTP response body contains XML divided into two parts:

- 1. The **header** (not to be confused with the header of the containing HTTP response message), which includes meta-information about the actual data, returned. This information, structured according to <u>CERIF-API-Header.xsd</u>, includes the following:
 - Data about the source CRIS system that produced this response, in particular the "base URL" of the CERIF API at this CRIS.
 - Data useful for paging results in clients (total number of returned records, actual number of records returned in current page, offset, page size, max number of records that can be returned by server in a single response). Paging results refer only to the instances of the requested type of entity as denoted by {entity name in plural} in API calls and not to the data that may be included in the response, whenever the parameters *linkedObjects* and *linkedSemantics* are used with value other than false (see Section 2.2).
 - The query that triggered this responses. This information is included only in cases of responses to GET requests and contains essentially the URL invoked by the client.
- 2. The payload, which contains the actual data retrieved from the CRIS system in response to the request. The content of the payload (which must conform to <u>CERIF-API-Payload.xsd</u>) can be either a CERIF XML structure or a custom XML response. The cases where a custom structure is being used are, for example, in situations where a pure CERIF XML approach would make the response overly complex and lengthy, i.e. where the gain of using a custom format is substantial in terms of complexity and size. In the current version of the API, a custom XML structure is used for the response to method call #3 (<u>CERIF-API-Entities.xsd</u>).

This structure is illustrated in the following example:

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?> <CERIF-API> <Header> <api-version>1.0</api-version> <source>http://examplecris.org/api</source> <offset>50</offset>

<pageSize>5</pageSize> <!--requested max number of elements in page -->

<resultsInPage>3</resultsInPage> <-- actual number of elements in page -->

<totalResults>53</totalResults>

<maxPageSize>200</maxPageSize>

<query>http://examplecris.org/api/persons?offset=50&pageSize=5</query>

</Header>

<Payload>

<CERIF xmlns="urn:xmlns:org:eurocris:cerif-1.6-2"

xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"

xsi:schemaLocation="urn:xmlns:org:eurocris:cerif-1.6-2

http://www.eurocris.org/Uploads/Web%20pages/CERIF-1.6/CERIF_1.6_2.xsd"

date="2014-05-04" sourceDatabase="http://examplecris.org">

<cfPers>

<cfPersId/>

<cfURI>http://api.examplecris.org/persons/00581d92-dbb5-46cc-a327-68da38db9ef1 </cfURI>

</cfPers>

<cfPers>

<cfPersId/>

<cfURI>http://api.examplecris.org/persons/03c41a9c-4b49-461c-b88f-fc1da4fabd01 </cfURI>

</cfPers>

<cfPers>

<cfPersId/>

<cfURI>http://api.examplecris.org/persons/04f23f8b-068d-4368-a233-dbef74ecaa9f </cfURI>

</cfPers>

</CERIF>

</Payload>

</CERIF-API>

Appendix: CERIF API design choices

A range of design issues is encountered during the definition of the CERIF API. The following Table 4 lists the main issues involved and mentions, point by point, the approach followed based on the discussions and feedback within the Arch TG.

Issue	Approach
Which API technology and protocol should be used, SOAP or REST?	REST, due to its simplicity for developers, ubiquity and inherent support for the operations foreseen for the CERIF API (i.e. generic, fundamental CRUD- style primitives – at first limited to Read – not
	complex business operations).
What should be the output format technology, XML or JSON?	XML, since CERIF XML is already in place. JSON has been discussed as an idea (Porto joint CERIF and Arch TG meeting), but has not gained wide acceptance within the euroCRIS community at the moment.
The data returned by API calls will be always strictly CERIF XML?	Having everything as CERIF XML is compatible with current CRIS systems, since any data the server and client need to produce and parse is the CERIF XML Schema. However, using CERIF XML for everything adds considerably to the complexity and size of the exchanged data, especially for the /entities and /{entity name in plural} calls (e.g. lists of identifiers or counts can be transferred more efficiently using a custom encoding instead of representing them in CERIF XML, e.g. as cfURIs and cfMeasurements). While in CERIF XML the size of the data exchanged through these API calls is not expected to be extremely large in most cases, it is not unlikely that the volume of exchanged information might impact performance in certain situations, while simplicity in representation will be beneficial for API implementors. Furthermore, some meta-information about the returned results is useful to be included in API responses. Therefore, the adopted approach is to represent the actual returned CRIS data in most cases in CERIF XML, with certain exceptions when an alternative representation is substantially simpler and less verbose. Furthermore, a header section is included with every API response, providing meta information about the returned results in a new non-CERIF XML format.

REST is an architectural paradigm with a wide	Level 2 has been followed in the current version of
range of implementations and "RESTFul-ness" is a	the API specifications, with some features of Level
debated topic. How strict will the CERIF API be	3. Level 3 might be useful, but also probably to
with conforming to the "orthodox" REST way of	some extent an overkill for the case of the CERIF
creating an API? A common distinction is the levels	API and are not yet widely used by developers in
of a REST maturity model defined by Richardson et	real-life APIs. A feature of Level 3 has been (partly)
al ² :	adopted in the current draft API specifications:
Level 0: HTTP as a transport mechanism	Certain types of responses contain hyperlinks that
Level 1: Model data as resources addressable by	the client can follow to continue retrieving and
URIs	consuming data from the server through the API.
Level 2: Use HTTP as an application protocol (i.e.	For example, each entity in the /entities response
HTTP verbs for defining operations, HTTP message	contains a link to the list of entity instances for this
codes for addressing exceptions)	entity and the latter (list of entity instances for an
Level 3: HATEOAS (Hypertext As The Engine Of	entity) contains links to each entity instance of this
Application State)	type – all links are represented in valid CERIF XML.
What content / MIME type should be used in HTTP	This is a probably useful feature, but mostly
interactions between client and server? Is	important when HATEOAS is being used. In the
application/xml enough or should a custom media	current version application/xml is being used.
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined?	current version application/xml is being used.
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g.
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API consumers, to be able to retrieve a selected
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API consumers, to be able to retrieve a selected number of entity instances linked with a CERIF
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API consumers, to be able to retrieve a selected number of entity instances linked with a CERIF XML record (e.g. retrieve authors and projects
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API consumers, to be able to retrieve a selected number of entity instances linked with a CERIF XML record (e.g. retrieve authors and projects linked with a publication record). Therefore, the
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API consumers, to be able to retrieve a selected number of entity instances linked with a CERIF XML record (e.g. retrieve authors and projects linked with a publication record). Therefore, the CERIF API foresees such functionality in a
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API consumers, to be able to retrieve a selected number of entity instances linked with a CERIF XML record (e.g. retrieve authors and projects linked with a publication record). Therefore, the CERIF API foresees such functionality in a parameterized way, so that retrieval of
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API consumers, to be able to retrieve a selected number of entity instances linked with a CERIF XML record (e.g. retrieve authors and projects linked with a publication record). Therefore, the CERIF API foresees such functionality in a parameterized way, so that retrieval of information is selective (to avoid fetching
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API consumers, to be able to retrieve a selected number of entity instances linked with a CERIF XML record (e.g. retrieve authors and projects linked with a publication record). Therefore, the CERIF API foresees such functionality in a parameterized way, so that retrieval of information is selective (to avoid fetching unnecessary records) and applied only in cases
application/xml enough or should a custom media type be defined? "Depth" of information retrieved with each entity instance.	current version application/xml is being used. A purist REST approach would be to separately retrieve records of different entity types (e.g. retrieve a publication and its authors through two separate requests). In practice, it is convenient for developers, in particular especially CERIF API consumers, to be able to retrieve a selected number of entity instances linked with a CERIF XML record (e.g. retrieve authors and projects linked with a publication record). Therefore, the CERIF API foresees such functionality in a parameterized way, so that retrieval of information is selective (to avoid fetching unnecessary records) and applied only in cases that is meaningful for the client (see Section 2.1,

Table 4. CERIF API design choices

² Richardson, L. (2008) Justice Will Take Us Millions Of Intricate Moves. Presentation at the QCon 2008 conference, 19-21 November 2008, San Francisco, USA. Available at http://www.crummy.com/writing/speaking/2008-QCon/.