1. Summary

The extended abstract should contain a short summary subsequently used in the program. It contains enough information for the readers to become acquainted with the extended abstract without reading it. The summary should not include tables, figures or illustrations.

The UCISA User Skills Group conducted a survey during August and September 2014 on how UK higher education (HE) institutions are developing and supporting staff and student digital capabilities. A total of 156 HE institutions in the UK and Ireland were invited to respond via an online questionnaire containing quantitative and qualitative questions. Sixty three responses were received; a response rate of 41%.

Digital capabilities were defined as those that fit an individual for living, learning and working in a digital society. This definition also includes the infrastructure and digital environment in which individuals live and work, and a range of other capabilities including information literacy, digital professionalism, ICT skills, digital scholarship and electronic collaboration and communication.

This presentation will summarise some of the key findings from the survey in the following areas: strategy, delivery, implementation and practice, bring your own, differentiation and inclusion, looking to the future. This presentation also provides recommendations to the sector.

2. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

UCISA DIGITAL CAPABILITIES SURVEY 2014 - RESULTS

The UCISA User Skills Group conducted a survey during August and September 2014 on how UK higher education (HE) institutions are developing and supporting staff and student digital capabilities. A total of 156 HE institutions in the UK and Ireland were invited to respond via an online questionnaire containing quantitative and qualitative questions. Sixty three responses were received; a response rate of 41%.

Digital capabilities are those that fit an individual for living, learning and working in a digital society. This definition also includes the infrastructure and digital environment in which individuals live and work, and a range of other capabilities including information literacy, digital professionalism, ICT skills, digital scholarship and electronic collaboration and communication.
The survey follows much work on digital literacies/capabilities by organisations such as Jisc, the Higher Education Academy and National Union of Students, and comes at a time of increased competition within the HE sector, where there is much focus on improving the student experience and producing highly employable graduates.

Section 1: Defining digital capabilities

There was a great degree of similarity in the definitions and descriptions of digital capabilities used by individual institutions. Common themes included the ability to choose appropriate technologies, embedding digital tools into teaching or research, and ensuring that infrastructure and support are adequate. Some comments acknowledged that digital capability requirements vary between roles and subject areas.

Figure 1. A word cloud illustrating the most common, and breadth of, topics covered when asked to describe institutional definitions of digital capability.

Section 2: Strategic direction

The most important factors driving or enabling the development of student and staff digital capabilities were student expectations and requirements and the student experience survey.

The most important internal strategies for driving development included the Teaching, Learning and Assessment strategy, the library/Learning Resources strategy, and the Technology Enhanced Learning (TEL) and Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) strategies.

The most influential external strategies and reports included the NUS Charter on Technology in HE for students, and the Jisc infoNet ‘Developing Digital Literacies’ infoKit for staff.

Section 3: Delivery, implementation and practice

Emerging practices in developing student digital capabilities included curriculum-based initiatives, integrating digital capabilities into learning outcomes, handbooks and the curriculum; and extra-curricular activities, including using students as change agents and digital champions. Emerging practices in developing staff digital capabilities included integration into annual appraisals, managing a digital profile, digital scholarship practices and induction processes.

Mandatory training for students included that on Virtual Learning Environments (VLEs) and plagiarism software (primarily Turnitin), IT and library inductions, and some course specific embedded training. Mandatory training for staff included systems training before access was granted, IT induction and mobile learning/VLEs. Most universities offered online training, optional signup sessions and helpdesk support as their main methods.

The library, IT services, academic study skills support and elearning units were most heavily involved in supporting students and staff to develop their digital capabilities. The library services seemed to
be by far the most progressive, most often making use of new communication methods such as Twitter, social media and videos, in addition to established communications channels.

Section 4: Supporting Bring Your Own

Easy and secure access to campus networks seemed to be largely available across the sector, but challenges remain around the flexibility of space and furniture, the provision of power to both permanently installed hardware and the use of personal devices (BYOD), wifi saturation and bandwidth, accessible wifi printing, and the support provided to users.

Section 5: Supporting differentiation and inclusion

Some institutions indicated that all their teaching, research, institution and system websites are device friendly, but where institutions still need to implement device friendly sites, most are prioritising institution websites over others. Strategies for providing open research content were more developed than those for teaching content.

Documents and software for students were generally more accessible and inclusive than those for staff, but it may be that software and platform suppliers limit control over this.

Nearly as many institutions were working towards accessible and inclusive guidelines for the release of student created digital materials as were not. This is fraught with difficulties which may be difficult to resolve.

Section 6: Looking to the future

Institutions overall seemed relatively positive about their ability to develop students and staff digital capabilities over the next two years. However, the most significant barriers for future development of student digital capabilities were felt to be lack of money, department culture, competing strategic initiatives and institutional culture. The most significant barriers for future development of staff digital capabilities included competing strategic initiatives, institutional culture, lack of money, and department culture.

Key initiatives being implemented, scoped or investigated in the next two years included developments and reviews of teaching and learning systems, and a range of digital literacy related projects. Infrastructure and training and development projects were also frequently identified.

The most important departments for effecting change included IT services, Academic development/Learning Technologies, and the library, with most institutions citing between one and eight different services, departments or groups. A small minority of institutions listed job titles or units that specifically feature digital literacy. It will be necessary to involve academic staff and students in this development too; working together effectively within institutions and across the sector will continue to be beneficial and essential for driving this agenda of change.

Section 7: Concluding remarks

Keeping pace with the pressures arising from the rapid development of technology requires innovative responses. Clearer descriptions of skills and competencies required for roles and disciplines will help frame and focus activities and provide motivation and direction for culture change, employability and competitiveness.

More information
The full survey and executive summary can be found online at www.ucisa.ac.uk/digcap.
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